HONORABLE JOHN C. COUGHHENOUR 2 3 4 06-CR-00050-ORD 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 8 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA. 9 NO. CR06-0050 JCC Plaintiff, 10 AGREED ORDER CONTINUING V. 11 TRIAL DATE AND DEADLINE FOR JUAN VALENCIA-LOPEZ, and FILING PRE-TRIAL MOTIONS 12 JOSE RUIZ-VELASQUEZ. (Proposed) 13 Defendants. 14 THIS MATTER having come before the Court on the Agreed Motion To Continue 15 Trial Date and Deadline for Filing Pre-Trial Motions, and the Court having considered the 16 motion and the entirety of the records and files herein, the Court finds and rules as follows: 17 1. Based on the facts set forth in the parties stipulated motion, the Court finds that a 18 failure to grant the continuance would deny counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective 19 preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, within the meaning of Title 18. 20 United States Code, Section 3161(h)(8)(B)(ii). 21 22

2. The Court finds that this case involves two defendants who have been charged by Indictment with a large-scale narcotics conspiracy. The government alleges that the charged conspiracy began at a time unknown, but within the last five years, and continued until December 11, 2005. The Indictment charges fifteen substantive counts of distribution of

narcotics.

The Court also finds that as a general matter, there is a preference in the federal system

AGREED ORDER CONTINUING TRIAL DATE/ Valencia-Lopez and Ruiz-Velasquez

CR06-50JCC-1

23

24

25

26

27

28

for joint trials of persons indicted together. This preference exists in this case and is particularly strong because the defendants are alleged to have participated in a common plan or scheme. Further, in this case, there is also substantial public interest in a joint trial.

The Court further finds that a joint trial in this matter will expedite the administration of justice, reduce the congestion of trial dockets, conserve judicial time, lessen the burden upon citizens who must sacrifice both time and money to serve upon juries, and avoid the necessity of recalling witnesses who would otherwise be called upon to testify only once.

- 3. The Court further finds that the defendants are facing substantial penalties if convicted given the mandatory minimum term of incarceration required because of the quantities of controlled substances charged in the Indictment.
- 4. Finally, the Court finds that the ends of justice will be served by ordering a continuance in this case, that the continuance is necessary to insure effective trial preparation and that these factors outweigh the best interests of the public in a more speedy trial within the meaning of Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(8)(A).

THEREFORE, THE COURT FINDS THAT:

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 1. That the period of time between October 30, 2006, and January 22, 2007, shall be excluded from computation under Title 18 United States Code, Sections 3161(h)(8)(A) and 3161(h)(8)(B)(i) and (ii), because it is unreasonable to expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or for the trial itself within the time limits established by the Speedy Trial Act, and because the failure to grant the continuance set forth in this Order would be likely to make a continuation of this proceeding impossible, or result in a miscarriage of justice.
- 2. That the ends of justice served by this continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendants in a speedy trial.

THEREFORE, THE COURT ORDERS THAT:

- 1. The trial date shall be continued from October 30, 2006, to January 22, 2007.
- 2. The pre-trial motions deadline shall be December 15, 2006 and counsel for the government shall have two weeks to respond to any pretrial motions filed by counsel.

AGREED ORDER CONTINUING TRIAL DATE/ Valencia-Lopez and Ruiz-Velasquez CR06-50JCC-2

The defendants shall file with the Court no later than October 30, 2006 waivers 3. of their rights under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161 et seq., for the period from October 30, 2006, through January 22, 2007. IT IS SO ORDERED. The clerk of the Court is instructed to send uncertified copies of this Order to all counsel of record. DATED this 24th day of October, 2006. Presented by: Special Assistant United States Attorney ION ZULAUF Counsel for Juan Valencia-Lopez Sounsel for Jose Ruiz-Velasquez

İ

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28